



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/721,660

11/25/2003

Senthil Natesan

N0178US

7410

37583 7590 07/07/2008
NAVTEQ NORTH AMERICA, LLC
425 West RANDOLPH STREET
SUITE 1200, PATENT DEPT
CHICAGO, IL 60606

EXAMINER

LIN, SHEW FEN

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2166

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

07/07/2008

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/721,660	Applicant(s) NATESAN ET AL.	
	Examiner SHEW-FEN LIN	Art Unit 2166	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 4/15/2008.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 47-65 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 47-65 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

- a. This action is taken to response to amendments and remarks filed on 4/15/2008.
- b. Claims 47-65 are pending. Claims 47, 56, and 64 are independent claims.
- c. Rejections and/or objections not reiterated from previous office actions are hereby withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 47-61 and 64-65 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Livshutz et al. (European Patent Application, EP 0943894A2, 9/22/1999, hereinafter Livshutz) in view of DeLorme et al. (US Patent 6,321,158, hereinafter DeLorme).

As to claim 47, Livshutz discloses a method of operation for a navigation system (Fig. 1) comprising:

using a repository for geographic data, wherein the repository contains a plurality of pre-computed parcels of geographic data, wherein the geographic data in each of the parcels represent geographic features contained in a separate one of a plurality of geographic sub-areas into which a geographic region is divided (Figs. 5, 7-9, abstract, paragraph 0043, 0044, geographic database includes a plurality of data records that represent geographic features, the plurality of records are organized into a plurality of parcels);

calculating a route from an origin to a destination (Fig. 5, 136, paragraph 0012, 0023, 0032, route calculation);

providing to a local memory from said repository the parcels that contain the data that represent the geographic features encompassed in the geographic sub-areas said route passes through (paragraph 0009, 0031-0033, 0045, 0112, the navigation application program is being run, load data into memory based upon the physical geographic locations of the features which the data represent or upon the geographical proximity of the features which the data represent and data are organized into parcels).

Livshutz does not mention explicitly after said step of calculating the route, using the calculated route to identify the geographic sub-areas that are crossed by the calculated route

Art Unit: 2166

identifying the parcels that contain the data that represent the geographic features encompassed in the geographic sub-areas that the route passes through. In stead, Livshutz discloses after an end-user obtains a calculated route, the routing subset of geographic data is accessed first to obtain the routing road segment data entities for the optimum route, and then the cartographic subset of the geographic database is accessed to obtain the cartographic road segment data entities corresponding to the routing data entities (paragraph 0012) and a navigation system may require to access the geographic data spatially by finding several or all of a type of data records located close to a location in the geographic region or within a defined area in the geographic region (paragraph 0038). However, it is common and will be recognized by a person with ordinary skill in the art that in order to provide maneuver data for route guidance, the sub-area and parcels associated the route segments will be identified (Figs. 7-9, 13-15).

Moreover, DeLorme explicitly teaches in the invention “after said step of calculating the route, using the calculated route to identify the geographic sub-areas that are crossed by the calculated route identifying the parcels that contain the data that represent the geographic features encompassed in the geographic sub-areas that the route passes through” as (Figs. 5, 5B, 5E, 6A, col. 60, line 59 to col. 61, line 5, col. 62, lines 3-6, lines 51-57, utilizing GIS or map databases that organize geographic data into tiles, grids, quads or frames, find all tiles within circle/line buffer of nodes, searching for all POIs located in tiles or grids which intersect the line buffer region).

Therefore it would have been obvious for persons of ordinary skills in the art at the time of the applicant’s invention to identify the tiles intersect with route and then identify the POI

within the intersecting tiles, as explicitly demonstrated by DeLorme and implicitly demonstrated by Livshutz.

As to claim 48, Livshutz discloses the method of claim 47, wherein said parcels of geographic data are less than a maximum data size (paragraph 0043).

As to claim 49, Livshutz discloses the method of claim 47, further comprising: on a server, receiving a request for said route (paragraph 0020, the input information may include a request for a route to a desired destination); and sending to a client computing platform said parcels corresponding to said geographic sub-areas said route passes through to a client computing platform (paragraph 0112, client-server platform).

As to claim 50, Livshutz discloses the method of claim 47, further comprising: storing said provided parcels in a memory (Figs. 1, 8, paragraph 0045, lines 6-8).

As to claim 51, Livshutz discloses the method of claim 47, further comprising: using data from said provided parcels to display a map (Fig. 5, 137, paragraph 0035, using these different layers of cartographic data, the map display function can provide rapid panning and zooming.)

As to claim 52, Livshutz discloses the method of claim 47, further comprising: using data from said provided parcels to explicate said route (paragraph 0023, lines 13-15, route guidance, wherein detailed directions are provided for reaching a desired destination).

As to claim 53, Livshutz discloses the method of claim 47, further comprising: using data from said provided parcels to find information about a point of interest based upon specified criteria (Fig. 5, 139, paragraph 0026, point-of-interest, such as a hotel or civic center, a boundary of a natural feature, such as a lake, or a position along a railroad track or ferry.).

As to claim 54, Livshutz discloses the method of claim 53, wherein the specified criteria include location-based criteria (paragraph 0026, The location 114 may correspond to a position of a point-of-interest, such as a hotel or civic center, a boundary of a natural feature, such as a lake, or a position along a railroad track or ferry. The locations 114 may correspond to anything physically located in the geographic area 112).

As to claim 55, Livshutz discloses the method of claim 47, wherein the repository includes a plurality of collections of geographic data, wherein each collection represents the entire geographic region, wherein each collection is organized into a plurality of parcels, each of said parcel is less than a maximum size and wherein the parcels in one of said plurality of collections contains data that represents different attributes of the represented geographic features than the parcels in another of said plurality of collections (Figs. 9, 11, abstract, paragraph 0014, 0030, 0043).

As to claim 56, Livshutz discloses a navigation system (Fig. 1) comprising:
a server (paragraph 112, see also DeLorme, col. 63, lines 14-15);

a repository for geographic data (Fig. 1, 32), wherein the repository contains pre-computed parcels of geographic data (Fig. 8), wherein each of the pre-computed parcels of geographic data corresponds to a separate one of a plurality of geographic sub-areas into which a geographic region is divided (Figs. 5, 7-9, abstract, paragraph 0043,0044, geographic database includes a plurality of data records that represent geographic features, the plurality of records are organized into a plurality of parcels);

a route calculation application performed on the server that calculates a route from an origin to a destination (Fig. 5, 136, Fig. 6, paragraph 0012, 0023, 0112, route calculation); and

a geographic data providing application that provides to a client computing platform from said repository a plurality of the parcels that contain the data that represent the geographic features encompassed in said geographic sub-areas said route passes through (paragraph 0009, 0031-0033, 0045, 0112, the navigation application program is being run, load data into memory based upon the physical geographic locations of the features which the data represent or upon the geographical proximity of the features which the data represent and data are organized into parcels).

Livshutz does not mention explicitly application performed on the server that uses the calculate route to identify the geographic sub-areas that are crossed by the calculated route and identifying the parcels that contain the data that represent the geographic features encompassed in the geographic sub-areas that the route passes through. In stead, Livshutz discloses after an end-user obtains a calculated route, the routing subset of geographic data is accessed first to obtain the routing road segment data entities for the optimum route, and then the cartographic subset of the geographic database is accessed to obtain the cartographic road segment data

Art Unit: 2166

entities corresponding to the routing data entities (paragraph 0012) and a navigation system may require to access the geographic data spatially by finding several or all of a type of data records located close to a location in the geographic region or within a defined area in the geographic region (paragraph 0038). However, it is common and will be recognized by a person with ordinary skill in the art that in order to provide maneuver data for route guidance, the sub-area and parcels associated the route segments will be identified (Figs. 7-9, 13-15).

Moreover, DeLorme explicitly teaches in the invention “after said step of calculating the route, using the calculated route to identify the geographic sub-areas that are crossed by the calculated route identifying the parcels that contain the data that represent the geographic features encompassed in the geographic sub-areas that the route passes through” as (Figs. 5, 5B, 5E, 6A, col. 60, line 59 to col. 61, line 5, col. 62, lines 3-6, lines 51-57, utilizing GIS or map databases that organize geographic data into tiles, grids, quads or frames, find all tiles within circle/line buffer of nodes, searching for all POIs located in tiles or grids which intersect the line buffer region).

Therefore it would have been obvious for persons of ordinary skills in the art at the time of the applicant’s invention to identify the tiles intersect with route and then identify the POI within the intersecting tiles, as explicitly demonstrated by DeLorme and implicitly demonstrated by Livshutz.

As to claim 57, Livshutz discloses the method of claim 56, wherein said pre-computed parcels of geographic data have a substantially uniform data size (paragraph 0081, maintain a uniform parcel size among the parcels within the layer).

As to claim 58, Livshutz discloses the method of claim 56, wherein said repository for geographic data and said geographic data providing application are associated with a server (paragraph 0112, client-server platform).

As to claim 59, Livshutz discloses the method of claim 56, further comprising: a route guidance application that uses data contained in said parcels from said local memory to provide maneuvering instructions for following said route (Fig. 5, 138, paragraph 0023, lines 13-15, route guidance, wherein detailed directions are provided for reaching a desired destination).

As to claim 60, Livshutz discloses the method of claim 56, further comprising: a map display application that uses data contained in said parcels from a local memory associated with said client computing platform to provide a map of said route on a display (paragraph 0002, show detailed maps on computer displays outlining routes to destinations, the types of maneuvers to be taken at various locations along the routes, locations of certain types of features, Fig. 5, 137, paragraph 0035, using these different layers of cartographic data, the map display function can provide rapid panning and zooming, paragraph 0112).

As to claim 61, Livshutz discloses the method of claim 56, further comprising: a positioning application that uses data contained in said parcels from a local memory associated with said client computing platform to determine a position of an end user computing platform

relative to roads represented by data contained in said parcels (Fig. 1, 24, paragraph 0028, 0112, provide geographic positions).

As to claim 64, has the same subject matter as of claim 47 and as such rejected under the same rationale.

As to claim 65, Livshutz discloses the method of claim 64, further including: using data from said parcels in said local memory to provide navigation- related features (paragraph 0023).

Claims 62-63 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Livshutz and DeLorme, and further in view of Drury et al. (US Patent 6,707,421, hereinafter Drury).

As to claims 62-63, Livshutz discloses navigation application provide these various navigation features and functions including route guidance and destination resolution capabilities (paragraph 0023, Livshutz) but does not explicitly disclose determining whether an end user computing platform has departed from said route and wherein if said end user computing platform has departed from said route, said positioning application calculates a way back to said route.

Drury discloses determining whether an end user computing platform has departed from said route (Fig. 17, column 20, lines 39-58, if at any time the difference between the dead reckoning position and the (D)GPS based position is more than the off-route tolerance, then a off-route routine is initiated) and wherein if said end user computing platform has departed from

Art Unit: 2166

said route, said positioning application calculates a way back to said route (column 9, lines 15-36, detect when the vehicle has diverged too far from the planned route. When it detects that the vehicle is off-route, it plans a corrected route based on the main roads map shown in FIG. 10 which get the vehicle back onto the originally planned route).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention was made to modify Livshutz and DeLorme's disclosure to include determining if an end user computing platform has departed from the planned route and planning a corrected route to get back onto the originally planned route as taught by Drury for the purpose of providing the operator with instructions to continue to guide the vehicle to the destination despite the error (column 5, lines 13-17, Drury). The skilled artisan would have been motivated to improve the invention of Livshutz and DeLorme per the above such that navigation system will guide the operator to the destination even if the operator could be off-route due to error or stop by point of interest.

Response to Amendment and Remarks

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 47, 56, and 64 have been fully and carefully considered but not deemed to be persuasive.

In response to applicant's argument that Livshutz does not disclose the newly amended limitation "after said step of calculating the route, using the calculated route to identify the geographic sub-areas that are crossed by the calculated route identifying the parcels that contain the data that represent the geographic features encompassed in the geographic sub-areas that the

route passes through' is moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. Refer to the corresponding sections of the claim analysis for details.

In response to applicant's argument that Livshutz teaches away from claimed invention because the claimed invention provides all data for the sub-area and not just specific items of data for use with the desired function. The Examiner respectfully disagrees. It is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., provides all data for the sub-area and not just specific items of data for use with the desired function) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new grounds of rejection presented in this Office Action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shew-Fen Lin whose telephone number is 571-272-2672. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30AM - 5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hosain Alam can be reached on 571-272-3978. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Shew-Fen Lin /S. L./
Examiner, Art Unit 2166
June 26, 2008

/Hosain T Alam/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2166